UNH Student Evaluation of Teaching: Spring 2018 Instructor-Course Report (full)

Birch Sydney (sjb1061) 201750-52207 GEN 604 05

| Raters | Students |
|----------------|----------|
| Responded | 17 |
| Invited | 26 |
| Response Ratio | 65% |

UNH Standard Evaluation Questions

| | | Course | | | |
|--|------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Question | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation | | |
| Objectives for course were clearly presented. | 4.24 | 17 | 0.75 | | |
| Content accurately reflected the course objectives. | 4.18 | 17 | 0.81 | | |
| Assignments related well to the course objectives. | 4.18 | 17 | 0.88 | | |
| Was well-prepared for class. | 4.59 | 17 | 0.51 | | |
| Presented material effectively. | 4.41 | 17 | 0.51 | | |
| Encouraged discussion and/or questions. | 4.53 | 17 | 0.51 | | |
| Answered questions effectively. | 4.29 | 17 | 0.59 | | |
| Seemed enthusiastic about subject matter. | 4.53 | 17 | 0.51 | | |
| Was fair in dealings with students. | 4.65 | 17 | 0.49 | | |
| Was available to students outside of class. | 4.53 | 15 | 0.52 | | |
| Showed respect for students. | 4.65 | 17 | 0.49 | | |
| Instructor's grading system was clearly described to students. | 4.44 | 16 | 0.81 | | |
| Instructor graded in a fair manner. | 4.53 | 17 | 0.62 | | |
| Mean of Question Means | 4.44 | - | 0.64 | | |

| | | Course | |
|--|------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Question | Mean | Response Count | Standard Deviation |
| Overall, how would you rate this instructor? | 4.59 | 17 | 0.51 |

Course Content and Structure

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Mean | SD | Resp |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|----------|----------------------|------|------|------|
| Objectives for course were clearly presented. | 41 % | 41 % | 18 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.24 | 0.75 | 17 |
| Content accurately reflected the course objectives. | 35 % | 53 % | 6 % | 6 % | 0 % | 4.18 | 0.81 | 17 |
| Assignments related well to the course objectives. | 41 % | 41 % | 12 % | 6 % | 0 % | 4.18 | 0.88 | 17 |

Instructor: Sydney Birch

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Mean | SD | Resp |
|---|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|------|------|------|
| Was well-prepared for class. | 59 % | 41 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.59 | 0.51 | 17 |
| Presented material effectively. | 41 % | 59 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.41 | 0.51 | 17 |
| Encouraged discussion and/or questions. | 53 % | 47 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.53 | 0.51 | 17 |
| Answered questions effectively. | 35 % | 59 % | 6 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.29 | 0.59 | 17 |
| Seemed enthusiastic about subject matter. | 53 % | 47 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.53 | 0.51 | 17 |
| Was fair in dealings with students. | 65 % | 35 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.65 | 0.49 | 17 |
| Was available to students outside of class. | 53 % | 47 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.53 | 0.52 | 15 |
| Showed respect for students. | 65 % | 35 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.65 | 0.49 | 17 |

Grading: Sydney Birch

| | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neither | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Mean | SD | Resp |
|--|-------------------|-------|---------|----------|----------------------|------|------|------|
| Instructor's grading system was clearly described to students. | 56 % | 38 % | 0 % | 6 % | 0 % | 4.44 | 0.81 | 16 |
| Instructor graded in a fair manner. | 59 % | 35 % | 6 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.53 | 0.62 | 17 |

Overall Instructor Rating: Sydney Birch

| | Excellent | Good | Average | Fair | Poor | Mean | SD | Resp |
|--|-----------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Overall, how would you rate this instructor? | 59 % | 41 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % | 4.59 | 0.51 | 17 |

Please write below any comments or suggestions related to course content, grading, or structure.

Comments

Have more written notes on powerpoint info sometimes was hard to keep track of.

I liked the way you taught things, though felt that the exams could have been worded/presented in a better way. I lost a lot of small points which added up on exams, despite understanding the material.

Homework goes too far in depth compared to depth of material presented in class.

None

The test had questions that were badly worded and made them hard to answer. Many students had to go back to the TA's and get points back because it was unfairly graded compared to how it was worded. The test materials were fair its the questions that became unreasonable

Class overall was fair but the homeworks were usually harder and more in depth than we needed to know, but we're graded based on completion which was helpful.

I really like how the class is set up. Sometimes I wish that the recitation was optional or that we had a few skips that were allowed because if we fully understand the material then sometimes it's not needed that we go.

Make the homework questions pertinent to what we are learning. Don't take from other classes.

Grading was very fair, the course material was presented well by Prof. Macmanes and Sydney. I enjoyed sitting with my friends and having an "umm" and "that's fine" counter for every class.

More learning activities for recitation! I personally liked the the Kahoot game better than group games (depends) because everybody had to individually participate and think on their own rather than depending on other people in the group.

Please write below any comments or suggestions related to the instructor of this course. Sydney Birch

Comments

Very nice, helpful in clearing up ideas, and easy to understand

You're doing great! I enjoyed recitation with you and you presented things in a good manner. The only thing I could say (because you said you made a good portion of the homeworks) would be to, if you're still going to use the plagiarism finding program on mycourses, to write the questions in your own words, as the program often picked up the questions as plagiarized.

Sydney was awesome and clearly put in effort to help her students learn. Kahoot quizzes were very helpful study tools. It would be nice to see other recitation activities besides reviewing the homework.

None

One of my favorite TAs. Made sure to go over things in different way when someone was confused and gave great one on one help that really showed she cared about you learning. Im very lucky I got to have her.

Sydney tried really hard in recitation to help us understand especially before exams by helping us review and encouraging us to ask questions. Sometimes she isn't the best at explaining but she knows the topic and can clear things up if we didn't understand it. She was helpful in telling us what they are looking for on exams and how answers are graded. For her first semester being a ta she did a good job and helped us a lot.

Very good at helping us with hard topics and fun (and helpful) review games before the exams.

Thanks for everything! Probably one of the most helpful TAs I've ever had. But yeah make the homework more pertinent to what we're actually learning. Don't borrow from other classes. Otherwise good job.

Great job on your first semester TAing! Very flexible in letting me go to another recitation when I had an exam, and loved the kahoot's. Thanks for a great semester and good luck on future endeavors!

Sydney was very enthusiastic and passionate about the material as well as highly knowledgeable on the material.

About these students

| Freshman | Sophomore | Junior | Senior | Graduate | Other |
|----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|
| 12 % | 47 % | 41 % | 0 % | 0 % | 0 % |

| Male | Female |
|------|--------|
| 35 % | 65 % |

| Yes | No |
|-------|-----|
| 100 % | 0 % |

| Yes | No |
|------|------|
| 12 % | 88 % |

| Yes | No |
|-----|-------|
| 0 % | 100 % |

| А | В | С | D | F | Mean |
|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 47 % | 47 % | 6 % | 0 % | 0 % | 3.41 |

Number of classes missed in this course.

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| Mean | 3.71 |
| Median | 2.00 |
| Standard Deviation | 4.98 |

On average, how many hours did you spend each week on this course?

| Statistics | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| Mean | 5.71 |
| Median | 5.00 |
| Standard Deviation | 3.93 |